Exhibit 11

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
2		
3	In Re:)	
4	THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND) PRO	OMESA TITLE III
5	MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,) Cas	se No.
6	as representative of) 17-	-BK-03283 (LTS)
7	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,)	
8	et. al,)	
9	Debtors.)	
0)	
1	In Re:) PROM	MESA Title III
2	THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND) Case	e No.
3	MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO) 17-E	BK-03566 (LTS)
4	as representative of)	
5	THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM)	
6	OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE)	
7	COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO,)	
8	Debtor.)	
9)	
0	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO THE PROTECT	IVE ORDER
1	DEPOSITION OF	
2	LUIS MANUEL COLLAZO RODRIGUEZ	
3	VOLUME 2	
4	Tuesday, June 9, 2020, 9:13 a.m.	
5	REPORTED BY: Dennis Zambataro, RPR and CCR	

_	
	1 - TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS -
	2 Tuesday, June 9, 2020
	Time noted: 9:13 a.m.
	4 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
	5 record with the continuation of the
	6 deposition for Luis Manuel Collazo
	7 Rodríguez on June 9, 2020. The time is
	8 9:13 a.m. Eastern Standard Time.
	9 Please proceed.
1	O LUIS MANUEL COLLAZO RODR□GUEZ,
1	1 having been previously duly sworn, was examined and
1	2 testified as follows:
1	3 EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
1	4 BY MR. PAPEZ:
1	5 Q Good morning, Mr. Collazo.
1	6 Do you understand you're still under
1	7 oath today?
1	8 A [In English] Good morning, Matt.
1	9 Yes, I understand it.
2	Q Okay. Overnight did you do anything
2	further to prepare for your continued deposition
2	2 today?
2	A No. Only having a good rest.
2	Q Okay. Good. Then we will continue.
2	A Yes.
1	

1	and would have reviewed these financial
2	statements before they were issued, correct?
3	MR. SUSHON: Objection. Beyond the
4	scope.
5	[Question interpreted.]
6	THE WITNESS: Correct.
7	BY MR. PAPEZ:
8	Q These financial statements that were
9	issued in October 2018 reflect that the ERS
10	pension funding bonds are a liability of ERS,
11	correct?
12	MR. SUSHON: Objection. Beyond the
13	scope. I apologize.
14	THE INTERPRETER: That's okay.
15	[Question interpreted.]
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. PAPEZ:
18	Q Just to be clear, October of 2018
19	was after the time when ERS had made a
20	determination that the ERS bonds were invalid,
21	correct?
22	MR. SUSHON: Objection. Beyond the
23	scope.
24	[Question interpreted.]
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, the determination

1	was in 2017; the financial statements
2	were in 2018; 2017 comes before 2018.
3	BY MR. PAPEZ:
4	Q Why was that \$3.134 billion entry
5	made as a liability for the pension fund in
6	October of 2018?
7	MR. SUSHON: Objection. Beyond the
8	scope. And foundation.
9	[Question interpreted.]
10	THE WITNESS: The system included
11	that liability in the financial
12	statement. And that is independent of
13	the legal determination that was made
14	between May and August of 2017.
15	Because that determination has
16	obviously taken us into litigation, in
17	which we're questioning and requesting
18	requesting that these bonds be declared
19	null and void and illegal.
20	But until the Court does not rule on
21	this, the decision was made to
22	responsibly to responsibly include a
23	debt that today we are litigating and
24	questioning. And that we believe that
25	the system acquired that debt through an

1	illegal transaction.
2	So the financial statement is for
3	on a specific date and reflects the an
4	X-ray, a radiograph of the system for a
5	specific date. And that which is
6	reflected in that financial statement, we
7	are responsibly litigating.
8	But by including it in the financial
9	statement, or if you are saying that
10	including it in that including it in
11	the financial statement is a matter of
12	validating that, in no way.
13	It is there because the Court has
14	not made a final ruling regarding this
15	issue.
16	I hope this answers your question.
17	BY MR. PAPEZ:
18	Q You can close that document. I'm
19	going to ask some more general questions next,
20	sir.
21	Mr. Collazo, what is the earliest
22	date ERS was aware from any source of the debt
23	authorization language in the ERS Enabling Act
24	as it existed in 2008?
25	A What system are you talking about?

	1 I mean, there's one system, but there have been
	2 several administrations.
	Q I am talking about ERS as an entity.
	A The thing is the entity does not
;	5 become aware of things. It becomes aware
	6 through the management and the officers.
	7 The question should be: When was
	8 the first time that someone noticed or became
	9 aware, if that's the question that you want to
1	0 ask.
1	1 Q Well, the debt strike that.
1:	The ERS Enabling Act is your organic
1	3 statute for ERS, right?
1	4 A Correct.
1:	Q Is it fair to say that ERS as an
1	6 entity was aware of the debt authorization
1	7 language of the ERS Enabling Act when it was
1	8 added to the ERS Enabling Act?
1	9 MR. SUSHON: Objection.
2	[Question interpreted.]
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Not only the
2	retirement system, the laws are public,
2	many people may have been aware and other
2	government agencies, also. The laws are
2	5 public.